Would I Trust ANSEM? Market Structure, Mint Controls, and Concentration

Zettyx research pass - token risk check - ANSEM (solana) - 2026-07-10 - data: Dexscreener (free, no key)

Every number is fetched or flagged missing. Review before publishing.

Research lens: A clean report names both the evidence and the blind spots.

1. The core decision

ANSEM has visible market activity. Setting the ticker aside: is the market structure healthy, and is the authority risk visible? Those are different questions, and a token can pass one while failing the other.

2. Why It Matters

Most people look at price and market cap and stop. For a risk-first read, what matters is whether liquidity is real enough to exit, how concentrated that liquidity is, and whether chain-specific controls could trap holders.

3. What I Checked

- Dexscreener token endpoint - pairs, liquidity, volume, FDV, buy/sell split [high]
- Solana JSON-RPC - parsed mint account, token supply, and largest SPL token accounts [high]

4. What the Data Shows

Metric	Value	Confidence
Token / chain	ANSEM / solana	high
Token address	9cRCn9rGT8V2imeM2BaKs13yhMEais3ruM3rPvTGpump	high
Price	$0.212	high
FDV / Market cap	$212.04M	high
Primary pair	pumpswap ANSEM/SOL	high
Primary-pair liquidity	$2.02M	high
Primary-pair 24h volume	$21.49M	high
24h volume / liquidity (primary)	~1064.8%	medium
24h buys / sells (primary pair)	48668 / 36826	high
Share of visible liquidity in top pair	~40.5%	medium
Top-10 SPL token-account concentration	~63.6%	high
Mint authority revoked?	Yes	high
Freeze authority revoked?	Yes	high
Largest token-account share	~58.4%	high
Top-10 token-account share	~63.6%	high

Metric	Value	Confidence
LP burned/locked?	-	missing

5. What the data can carry

On market structure, ANSEM has a visible pool if the main pair holds $2.02M of liquidity and did $21.49M in 24h volume. That gives a market-depth baseline, but not a full safety read. The cautious note is concentration: ~40.5% of visible liquidity sits in the top pair. The bigger gap is chain-specific contract/authority risk: mint authority revoked: yes; freeze authority revoked: yes; the largest token account holds ~58.4% and the top 10 hold ~63.6%. LP lock/burn status still needs a pool-specific check. [medium]

6. What holds up

- Primary liquidity of $2.02M gives a visible market-depth baseline. [high]
- Visible 24h flow of $21.49M with 48668 buys and 36826 sells gives a real market-structure read. [high]
- FDV / market cap of $212.04M is fetched from the market source, not supplied from memory. [high]

7. What remains unproven

- ~40.5% of visible liquidity in a single top pair means total liquidity can overstate resilience. [medium]
- Mint authority revoked: yes; freeze authority revoked: yes. The top 10 SPL token accounts hold ~63.6% of supply; those accounts are not deduplicated beneficial owners. [high]
- Largest-account concentration is only as complete as the chain-specific source and account labeling. [medium]
- Market data cannot prove chain-specific contract or authority safety. [medium]

8. What I Would Monitor Next

- Label the largest SPL token accounts and verify LP lock/burn status for the primary pool.
- Top-10 holder concentration and any single-wallet dominance.
- Liquidity spread across pools and whether the main LP is locked.
- Volume durability vs liquidity over a week.

9. Working conclusion

On the data I can verify, ANSEM's market has visible activity. The liquidity read is visible, but still only one part of the risk picture. Also, the LP lock/burn row remains unverified even though mint controls and concentration are visible, and I would not call a token clean on market data alone. Treat as "market visible, authority/contract risk not cleared." No price view, no buy/sell signal. [medium]

10. Connection Use (internal)

- Reply to: threads treating market cap or liquidity as safety.
- Helps contact: token-risk / safety tooling accounts, DEX analytics builders.
- Short reply to extract: "Market risk and chain-specific authority risk are different. ANSEM's market is visible; the authority/contract side still needs checking."
- DM angle: two-part token risk check offer.
- $100 pack: "market + authority" token risk one-pager.

Missing-data checklist

[ ] LP burned/locked status on the main pool - pool-specific manual review

[ ] Unique holder count and beneficial-owner concentration

[ ] Label the largest token accounts (LP vault, exchange, treasury, individual)

Confidence notes

- Price, FDV, liquidity, volume, buy/sell, pair: high (Dexscreener 2026-07-10).
- Liquidity concentration and turnover: medium (arithmetic on visible pairs).
- Solana mint/freeze authority and largest-account concentration: high from read-only JSON-RPC. LP status remains missing.
- Holder statements: missing until holder data is added.

Sources

- https://api.dexscreener.com/latest/dex/tokens/9cRCn9rGT8V2imeM2BaKs13yhMEais3ruM3rPvTGpump
- https://solscan.io/token/9cRCn9rGT8V2imeM2BaKs13yhMEais3ruM3rPvTGpump